Case 2|108-cv-05979-ODW-CW | Document 213 | Filed 12/03/10 | Page 1 of 8 | Page ID #:2717

This action came on regularly for trial on November 9, 2010, in Courtroom "11" 1 2 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California before the Honorable Otis D. Wright, II, Trial Judge. Plaintiffs Timothy Gantt and Michael Smith 3 were represented by Chijioke O. Ikonte and Emmanuel C. Akudinobi. The Defendants, 4 5 City of Los Angeles, Louis Trovato, Jose L. Reyes, Rick Lane, and Al Gonzales, were represented by Deputy City Attorney Surekha A. Pessis and Deputy City Attorney Geoff 6 Plowden. 7 8 A jury of twelve (12) persons were regularly empaneled and sworn. Witnesses were sworn and testified and documentary evidence was introduced and admitted into 9 10 evidence. After hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, the jury was duly instructed by the Court and the cause was submitted to the jury with directions to return 11 12 a verdict on the issues if, after proper deliberations, they could do so. The jury deliberated and thereafter returned to the court with their unanimous verdict as follows, 13 to-wit: 14 15 **JURY VERDICT** 16 17 "TITLE OF COURT AND CAUSE" 18 "WE, THE JURY, in the above-entitled action, unanimously find on the questions 19 presented as follows: 20 **MALICIOUS PROSECUTION** 21 22 **QUESTION NO. 1:** Did any of the defendants subject plaintiff Michael Smith to 23 malicious prosecution? 24 NO **__≭** 25 YES ____ 26 27 If you answered "yes", please proceed to Question No. 2. If you answered "no", please proceed to Question No. 4. 28

1	QUESTION NO. 2:	Please place a check mark next to the name of each defendant
2		who caused Michael Smith to be maliciously prosecuted.
3		
4		Rick Lane
5		Jose Reyes
6		
7		Please proceed to Question No. 3.
8		
9	QUESTION NO. 3:	Was the defendant's conduct as found by you in Question
10		No. 1 a substantial factor in causing injury to Michael Smith?
11		
12		Rick Lane YES NO
13		Jose Reyes YES NO
14		
15		Please proceed to Question No. 4.
16		
17	QUESTION NO. 4:	Did any of the defendants subject plaintiff Timothy Gantt to
18		malicious prosecution?
19		
20		YES NO
21		If you answered "yes", please proceed to Question No. 5.
22		If you answered "no", please proceed to Question No. 7.
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	QUESTION NO. 5:	Please place a check mark next to the name of each defendant
2		who caused Timothy Gantt to be maliciously prosecuted.
3		
4		Rick Lane
5		Jose Reyes
6		
7		Please proceed to Question No. 6.
8		
9	QUESTION NO. 6:	Was the defendant's conduct as found by you in Question
10		No. 4 a substantial factor in causing injury to Timothy Gantt?
11		
12		Rick Lane YES NO
13		Jose Reyes YES NO
14		
15		Please proceed to Question No. 7.
16		
17	QUESTION NO. 7:	Did any of the defendant's deny plaintiff Michael Smith his
18		due process right to fair trial by use of fabricated evidence?
19		
20		YES NO *
21		
22		If you answered "yes", please proceed to Question No. 8.
23		If you answered "no", please proceed to Question No. 10.
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Î	

1	QUESTION NO. 8:	Please place a check mark next to the name of each defendant
2		who caused Michael Smith to be denied of his due process
3		right to a fair trial.
4		
5		Rick Lane
6		Jose Reyes
7		
8		Please proceed to Question No. 9.
9		
10	QUESTION NO. 9:	Was the defendant's conduct as found by you in Question
11		No. 7 a substantial factor in causing injury to Michael Smith?
12		
13		Rick Lane YES NO
14		Jose Reyes YES NO
15		
16		Please proceed to Question No. 10.
17		
18	QUESTION NO. 10:	Did any of the defendant's deny plaintiff Timothy Gantt his
19		due process right to fair trial by use of fabricated evidence?
20		
21		YES NO ≭
22		
23		If you answered "yes", please proceed to Question No. 11.
24		If you answered "no", please proceed to Question No. 13.
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	QUESTION NO. 11:	Please place a check mark next to the name of each defendant
2		who caused Timothy Gantt to be denied of his due process
3		right to a fair trial.
4		
5		Rick Lane
6		Jose Reyes
7		
8		Please proceed to Question No. 12.
9		
10	QUESTION NO. 12:	Was the defendant's conduct as found by you in Question
11		No. 10 a substantial factor in causing injury to Timothy Gantt?
12		
13		Rick Lane YES NO
14		Jose Reyes YES NO
15		
16		Please proceed to Question No. 13.
17		
18		CONSPIRACY
19		
20	QUESTION NO. 13:	Do you find that defendants Rick Lane and Jose Reyes
21		conspired to violate plaintiff Timothy Gantt's constitutional
22		rights?
23		
24		YES NO
25		
26		If you answered "yes", please proceed to Question No. 14.
27		If you answered "no", please sign and date the verdict form.
28	QUESTION NO. 14:	Was the conspiracy a substantial factor in causing harm to
	1	

1	Timothy Gantt?
2	
3	YES NO
4	
5	
6	DATED: November 24, 2010 FOREPERSON OF THE JURY
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2425	
2526	
27	
28	
20	

JUDGMENT THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: That judgment be, and hereby is, entered in favor of Defendants City of 1. Los Angeles, Jose Reyes, Rick Lane, Albert Gonzales, Louis Trovato and Willie Williams and against the Plaintiff, Michael Smith; 2. That the Plaintiff Michael Smith shall take nothing; 3. That Defendants City of Los Angeles, Jose Reyes, Rick Lane, Albert Gonzales, Louis Trovato and Willie Williams recover their costs of suit herein. Dated: December 3, 2010 OTIS D. WRIGHT **United States District Judge**